UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/072,543	02/08/2002	Kevin B. Morton	NEOMTRX.4C1DV2	4228
20995 7590 08/10/2007 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET			EXAMINER	
			FOREMAN, JONATHAN M	
	FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3736	
		•	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/10/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

. •		ſ					
.1	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
0.00	10/072,543	MORTON ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jonathan ML Foreman	3736					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 N	lovember 2006.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Thi	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
	,—						
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Applicant is advised that should claims 2 - 11 be found allowable, claims 14 - 23 and 6 - 35 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,500,200 to Kushnir in view of US Patent No. 5,733,319 to Neilson et al.

In regards to claims 1 – 36, Kushnir discloses a closed loop heating system including a plurality of inflatable bladders (Figure 1) in a series flow path; a reservoir (90); and a fluid flow path comprising an inflow line (60) and an outflow line (62) for placing the bladders in fluid communication with the reservoir. Kushnir discloses at least 6 inflatable bladders (Figure 1) and a mechanical link (Col. 4, lines 30 – 33) between the bladders. The Examiner considers the bladders generally pear-shaped in that each comprise a wide portion and a thinner portion. A heat exchange fluid is contained within the closed loop (Col. 5, line 58). Kushnir discloses each bladder having a width of no more than about 2 inches, a length of no more than about 3 inches, and a thickness of

Art Unit: 3736

no more than about 1 inch (Col. 2, lines 37 – 44). Kushnir fails to disclose the reservoir being a compressible container and the closed loop system being free of a pump, wherein the closed loop system can be operated and removed without exposing a fluid within the closed loop system to the outside of the closed loop system. However, Neilson et al. discloses a closed loop system including a compressible reservoir (110; Col. 8, line 40) and being free of a pump, wherein the closed loop system can be operated and removed without exposing a fluid within the closed loop system to the outside of the closed loop system (Col. 6, lines 15 – 20). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the reservoir and closed loop system as disclosed by Kushnir to include a compressible container and to be free of a pump, wherein the closed loop system can be operated and removed without exposing a fluid within the closed loop system to the outside of the closed loop system as taught by Neilson et al. in order to allow the closed loop system to be disposable to thereby eliminate otherwise necessary cleaning and sterilization of non-disposable, fixed heat transfer units (Col. 6, lines 15 – 20).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 11/16/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant asserts that the lobes disclosed by Kushnir in view Neilson et al. are not generally pear-shaped. However, the term generally leads to a broad interpretation of pear-shaped. As such, the Examiner considers the lobes disclosed by Kushnir in view Neilson et al. to be generally pear-shaped in that each lobe comprise a wide portion and a thinner portion. It is noted that a recitation with respect to the manner in which an apparatus is intended to be employed does not impose any structural limitation upon the claimed apparatus that differentiates it from a prior art reference disclosing the structural limitations of the claim. *In re Pearson*, 494 F.2d 1399, 181 USPQ 641 (CCPA 1947); *In re Yanush*, 477 F.2d 958, 177 USPQ705 (CCPA 1973); *In re Finsterwalder*, 436

Application/Control Number: 10/072,543

Art Unit: 3736

F.2d 1028, 168 USPQ 530 (CCPA 1971); In re Casey, 370 F.2d 576, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967); In re Otto, 312 F.2d 937, 136 USPQ 458 (CCPA 1963); Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (BbPatApp & Inter 1987).

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan ML Foreman whose telephone number is (571)272-4724. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (571)272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3736

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

// JMLF

CONSTRUCTION REPORT OF A CONSTRUCTION OF A CONST